Fragmentarium

N.° 9.

(9) ut ipsa officiorum uocabula exse-
quamur. Uirtutes et eiũ uo
cantur uiu nmirũ sps. p quos
signa et miracula frequentius
fiunt. Potestates etiã uocantur
hiu qui hoc potentius ceteris in
suo ordine p ceperunt. ut eorũ
dictionu uirtutes ad uerse sub
iecte sunt. quorũ potestate refre
nantur ne corda hominũ tantũ
tẹptare preualeant: quantũ uo
lunt. Principatus etiã uocantur.
qui ipsis quoq bonis angl'orũ
spiritib; presunt. qui subiectis a-

Mr. Wanley — written in Italy about not long after N.° 8. and This I fancy might be the same time with, or so also near 500 Years old. It looks like a Fragment of some Comment upon Colossians Chap 1. 16; but whose, is not, I suppose, worth while to enquire after.

N.° 10.

(10)

Quam sciant presentes et futuri quod ego Petrus filius Jacobi de Chipenham dedi concessi et hac presenti carta mea confirmauit Roberto le Mos de Blechesdon heredibus et assignatis suis duo cotagia in Blechesdon et totam terram de stabulis ad illa cotagia pertinentis in villa et in campis videlicet illa cotagia cum terra quam tenent in Bridmelcote vnum inde cotagium Thome de Mosgrouue et cotagium Alexandri de Cokesfulle et alia terra tam Roberti le Haunle et cotagium Alexandri filii eius et Cristofori et alia terra tam Roberti de Hauncle et cotagium filii Jacobi cotagium Habendum et tenendum predicta cotagia et terram predictam de stabulis et illis suis vbique pertinentis predicto Roberto le Mos heredibus et assignatis suis libere quiete bene et in pace imperpetuum de capitalibus illius feodi illinc pertinentibus inde debitis et de iure consuetis Et ego predictus Roger filius et heredes mei predicta cotagia et terram predictam de stabulis suis pertinentis predicto Roberto le Mos heredibus et assignatis suis warantizabimus acquietabimus et imperpetuum defendemus in cuius rei testimonium huic presenti carte meo sigillum meum apposui Hiis testibus Dominis de Mosgrouue Johe de Cerfeuil nunc Johe Clerico de Blechesdon Willo filio de eadem Johe de Cosenacke de eadem Roberto de Langree Priesto et aliis Datum apud Blechesdon in festo sancti Michaelis Archangeli Anno Regni Regis Edwardi filii post conquestum tertio

Mr. Wanley — This bears Date — it in these Words, Anno Regni Edwardi 3tii post Conquestum. Sep — nono Regni — so must be — now 367 years old.†

N.° 11.

(11)

Congeuore

† Give me leave Sr. to add something about these Words. I have seen several Charters, Post Conquestum. I such a Year of K. Henry Deeds &c.d bearing date & many of K. Edw.d 1st who Filij Regis Johannis; Regis Henrici; & of K. is called therein Filius Edw.d 2d. called likewise Filius Regis Edwardi. But when K. Edward 3d. came to the Crown, I conceive they would not give him the Title of Edwardi filij Regis Edw.di because his Father was so stiled, before him; & therefore this Title was found out, Edwardus 3tius post Conquestum; which also distinguish'd him from Edw.ds 1ms Elder & Confessor, who both reigned before ye Conquest. Now I would humbly crave your Opinion, how proper it was in some of his Successors, to make use of these Words of his; as Rich.d 2d, when stiled Ric.dus post Conquest.um 2.dus; Henricus post Conq.stium 4.tus &c.d; as if we had had K.s of those Names before ye Conq.st

Mr Wanley — This seems to be written in K. Henry 6.th Reign, & so to be about 250 yrs old.

License: cc-by-nc-sa – Cambridge, Magdalene College, Pepys Library, PL 2981, page_7 – Statute (https://fragmentarium.ms/view/page/F-zn7o/8413/64970)