

N.^o 9.

7

M^r. Wanley—

written in Italy about
not long after N.^o 8. and
This is a
Fragment
of
whose, is not, I suppose,
after:

(9) ut ipsa officiorū vocabula exse-
quamur. Virtutes et enī uo-
cantur illi nimirū sp̄s. p̄ quos
signa et miracula frequentius
fūnt. Potestates etiā uocantur
hi qui hoc potenter ceteris in
suo ordine p̄ceperunt. ut eorū
dictioni virtutes ad uerē sub-
iecte sunt. quorū potestate refre-
natur ne corda hominū tantū
temptare preualeant. quantū uo-
lunt. Principatus etiā uocantur
qui ipsi quoq; bonis anglorū
spiritib; presunt. qui subiectis a-

This I fancy might be
the same time with, or
so also near 500 Years ~
Fragment of some Com-
muniants Chap 1. 16; but
worth while to enquir

N.^o 10.

(10)

Quare domine & ego Pro fratre fratru satru ecclie te dignatus redi concessi i hanc plenam curia mea consimilium Roberto le Coni re
Hedebury heretico & aliquatenus aug. suo erat in Heslebury & ibidem ante te solitudo tuā mīcuit autem genitores in villa dū in
tempore vita tua omnia erat autem in villa qd' vocata Regendo vnu mīcuit regnū Thomas re Coligny & regnū
Albionis re Godesalve & aliis nunc tamen Robert le Heslebury d' Coligny Regis Roberto p̄cas de regnū accepit in d' isto tempore qd'
eximis amulag p̄dū regnū Coligny. Heslebury e' ronduum p̄cas regnū dū hoc dū de nobilitate & talie autem obq; genitores p̄cas Roberto
bene lo corde hereditate & aliquatenus sicut hodie quire bene & in p̄ca impensis & dū aperte hodie sunt fidei illius & regnū mīcuit tamen dū re
ut consimilium. Et ego dūmū Regis postea & hereditate mea p̄cas regnū dū tamen dū dū sicut genitores p̄cas Roberto le Coni hereditate
& aliquatenus sicut Wadhamensis aequaliter in p̄perei resistenti. In ambo qd' regnū hodie. Item atq; nō agiliū mīcuit regnū Rob-
ertus & hereditate in Mafesio Jste re Godesalvi nunc Rob' le Heslebury. Vello & p̄f' re ecclie re Godesalve re ecclie Roberto le
re Regno. Vello & p̄f' re ecclie alio Vello apud Heslebury in fisco sancti Michaelis Regnū Regis Coligny dū p̄f'
anquilius regnū

Wanley
its Date-
it in these
no Regni
=narii.
Conque-
=tini. &
now 367

M^r.
This bears
along with
Words. An
Regis Ed-
wardi
5th p̄f'
stum Sep-
so must be

years old.†

N.^o 11.

(11)

¶ Give me leave Sir to add-
Post Conquestum. I-
Deeds &c. bearing date ~
Filij Regis Johannis;
ortu-
is called therein Filius.—
Edw. 2^d called likewise Fl =
when K. Edward 3^d came
But would not give him the Title —
because his Father was so stiled
was found out, Edwardus 3^d
distinguisht him from Edw. 4^d
reigned before y^r Conquest. Now
Opinion how proper it was in
of these Words of his; as Rich. 2^d when
vixit post Conq... 4^d &c.; as if we had

something about these Words
have seen several Charters,
such a Year of K. Henry
& many of K. Edw. 1^d who
Regis Henrici; & of K.
linus Regis Edwardi. But
to the Crown. I conceive they
of Edwardi filij Regis Edw.
before him; & therefore this Title
post Conquestum; which also
Elder & Confessor; who both
I would humbly crave your
some of his Successors to make use
staled Ric^d post Conquest. 2^d; Henr^d
had K. of those Names before y^r Conq.
So Ric^d & Lauder de Ric^d & so on so forth

• Mr Wanley—This seems to be written in K. Henry 6th Reign, & so to be about 250 y^r old.

