

M^r. Wanley—
written in Italy about
not long after N.º 8. and
old. It looks like a—
mentary upon Colof—
whose, is not, I suppose,
after:

(9) ut ipsa officio uocabula exse-
quatur. Virtutes et eni uo-
cantur uel nimiru sps. p quos
signa et miracula frequentius
fiunt. Potestates etia uocantur
hu qui hoc potentius ceteris in
suo ordine pceperunt. ut eoru
dictiom uirtutes ad uerse sub-
iecte sunt. quoy potestate refr-
nantur ne corda hominu tantu
teptare preualcant: quantu uo-
lunt. Principatus etia uocantur.
qui ipsis quoq; bonis ang Toru
spirtub; presunt. qui subiectis a-

This I fancy might be
the same time with, or
so alle near 500 Years ~
Fragment of some Com-
sitans Chap 1. 16; but
worth while to enquire

M^r. Wanley
This is a
Fragment
of Dryden
and
ma; n
seems
have be
written
here in
England
not much
later. N^o
so near
100 y^r
old.

(10)
Quoniam... (The text is a dense Latin manuscript snippet, partially obscured by a watermark.)

Wanley
its Date
it in these
no Regni
= warai
Conque=
= timi. &
non 367

M^r.
This bears
along with
Words, An
Regis Ed-
3rd p. 1st
= flum. Sep-
so must be
years Old. †

(11)
In nomine... (The text is a dense Latin manuscript snippet, partially obscured by a watermark.)

something about these Words
have seen several Charters,
such a Year of K. Henry
& many of K. Edw. 1st who
Regis Henrici, & of K.
= lius Regis Edwardi. But
to the Crown, I conceive they
of Edwardi filij Regis Edw.
before him, & therefore this Title
post Conquestum; which also
Elder & Confessor, who both
I would humbly crave your
some of his Successors, to make use
sealed Ric. 2nd post Conquest. 2^{um} d. 11th Hen-
had K. of these Names before y^e Conq.

† Give me leave to add
Post Conquestum. I
Deeds &c. bearing date
Filij Regis Johannis;
is called therein Filius
Edw. 2nd called likewise Fi-
when K. Edward 3rd came
would not give him the Title
because his Father was so styled
was found out, Edwardus 3rd
distinguid him from Edw. y^e
reigned before y^e Conquest. Now
Opinion, how proper it was in-
of these Words of his, as Rich. 2nd, when
reigns post Conq. 4. &c.; as if we had

M^r. Wanley. This seems to be written in K. Henry 6th Reign, & so to be about 250 y^r old.