

M. Wang written in Italy about
This is not long after N^o. 8. and
Frage old. It looks like a
of Pris -mentary upon Colof-
ans whose, is not, I suppose,
mar after.

seems
have been
written
here in
England
at much

letter 18.
so new
100 yr.
old. along with
Words. An
Regis Ed-
^{tip}
5 p. 15 ~
= blum Sep =
so must be -

years Old. ¶
Give me leave Sir to add -
Post Conquestum. I -
Deeds &c. bearing date -
Filijs Regis Johannis;
is called therein Filius -
Edw. 2. called likewise Fl =
when K. Edward 3. came
would not give him the Title -
because his Father was so stiled -
was found out, Edwarillus 3. tias
distinguisht him from Edw. y
reigned before y Conquest. Now
Opinion how proper it was in -
of these Words of his; as Rich. 2. when
rivers post Conquestum ^{tua} &c; as if we had

• Mr Wanley. This seems to be written in K. Henry 6.th Reign, & so to be about 250 y^r old.

N.^o II.

something about these Words
have seen several Charters,
such a Year of K. Henry
as many of K. Edw. 1st who
Regis Henrici; & of K.
litis Regis Edwardi. But
to the Crown, I conceive they
of Edwardi filij Regis Edw.
before him; & therefore this Title
last Conquestum; which also
Ilder & Confessor, who both
I would humbly crave your
some of his Successori, to make use
of it. ^{as} last Conquest. ^{was} 2. ^{as} Henr.
ad K. of those Names before y' Conq.

This Fancy might be ~
the same time with, or ~
so also near 500 Years ~
Fragment of some Com-
-fians Chap 1. 16; but
worth while to enquire

Wanley-
its Date-
it in these
no Regni
wardi -
Conque-
-timi. &
now 367

now 367