

M. Wanley—written in Italy about not long after N.º 8. and old. It looks like a—mentary upon Colof— whose is not, I suppose, after:

(9) ut ipsa officioy uocabula exequamur. Virtutes et eni uocantur uirum sps. p quos signa et miracula frequentius fiunt. Potestates etiã uocantur hu qui hoc potentius ceteris in suo ordine pceperunt. ut coru dictionum uirtutes ad uerse sabie recte sunt. quoy potestate reftentantur ne corda hominũ tantũ teptare preualeant: quantũ uolunt. Principatus etiã uocantur: qui ipsis quoq; bonis anglorũ spirtib; presunt. qui subiectis a

This I fancy might be the same time with, or so affe near 500 Years ~ Fragment of some Consians Chap 1. 16; but worth while to enquire

M. This bears along with Words. An Regis Edw. 3. r. s. f. s. t. m. Sep. so must be years Old.

(10) Quoniam ipse in summe est... (10) Quoniam ipse in summe est... (10) Quoniam ipse in summe est... (10) Quoniam ipse in summe est... (10) Quoniam ipse in summe est...

Wanley its Date it in these no Regni = warã = Conque = timi. & non 367

Give me leave to add Post Conquestum. I Deeds &c. bearing date Filij Regis Iohannis; is called therein Filius — Edw. 2.º called likewise when K. Edward 3.º came would not give him the Title — because his Father was so stiled — was found out, Edwardus 3.º distinguishd him from Edw. 2.º as e reigned before y Conquest. Now Opinion, how proper it was in of these Words of his; as Rich. 2.º when reigned post Cong. 4.º &c. as if we had

(11) In nomine domini Amen... (11) In nomine domini Amen... (11) In nomine domini Amen... (11) In nomine domini Amen... (11) In nomine domini Amen...

something about these Words have seen several Charters, such a Year of K. Henry & many of K. Edw. 2.º who Regis Henrici, & of K. = lirus Regis Edwardi. But to the Crown, I conceive they of Edwardi filij Regis Edw. before him; & therefore this Title post Conquestum; which also Elder & Confessor; who both I would humbly crave your some of his Successors, to make use stiled Ric. 2.º post Conquest. had K. of those Names before y Conq.

M. Wanley This seems to be written in K. Henry 6.ºs Reign, & so to be about 250 y. old.